

IMPACT OF NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS ON PEACEBUILDING AND POST-CONFLICT RECONSTRUCTION IN ADAMAWA NORTHERN SENATORIAL DISTRICT, ADAMAWA STATE

Salama Sajo^{1*} and Jonathan John²

*Adamawa State University, Mubi, Faculty of Social Sciences, Communication and Media Studies,
Adamawa State-Nigeria.*

salamasajo194@gmail.com, Jonathanjohn0303@gmail.com

Abstract

Peacebuilding has become a key part of resolving conflicts and recovering from violence in societies facing instability. This paper aim to examine the impact of Non-Governmental Organizations on Peacebuilding and Post-Conflict Reconstruction in Adamawa Northern Senatorial District. The study utilized a qualitative methodology, specifically employing content analysis to examine secondary data. It observed that Boko Haram insurgency wreaked a lot of havoc in Adamawa State particularly Northern Senatorial District ranging from the destruction of property, kidnapping, loss of human lives, desertion of towns and villages, and dislocation of families, which led to the consequent establishment of Internally Displaced Persons (IDPs) camps to take care of the survivors. The paper averred that the initial government response to Boko Haram insurgency was the use of force but when the attacks of the insurgents on the Northeast region became more pronounced and deadly as a result of change in strategies and tactics, with the use of improvised weapons, the government realised that it could not unilaterally deal with the situation without calling for the support of stakeholders, especially the international community with emphasis on western powers and neighbouring countries. Conflict Transformation Theory is adopted to guide the analysis and as well theoretical framework. Specifically, this paper reveal that in order to achieve government goals on peace building and reconstruction, there should be effective monitoring of the programmes while the process of implementation should be more open, transparent and accountable. Therefore, it recommend that, Collaborating with local actors, security agencies, and community watchdog groups can enhance operational safety and enable NGOs to work more effectively in insecure areas without compromising neutrality.

Keyword: *Impact, Non-Governmental Organization, Peace building, Post-conflict Reconstruction, Northern Senatorial District.*

INTRODUCTION

Peacebuilding is an essential approach to resolving conflicts and rebuilding societies affected by violence. It involves addressing the root causes of conflict by promoting lasting peace, good governance, and development. According to the United Nations (2007), it focuses on transforming violent relationships and preventing the recurrence of conflict through both top-down and bottom-up strategies, emphasizing local participation and cultural integration.

In Nigeria, particularly in Adamawa State in the Northeast, peacebuilding has become critical due to the devastating impact of the Boko Haram insurgency between 2009 and 2014. The crisis resulted in widespread loss of lives, destruction of infrastructure, displacement of communities, and disruption of economic and social activities. Despite government and military interventions, lasting peace remains difficult due to deep-rooted socio-political issues and the exploitation of the conflict by some actors for personal gain.

Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) have emerged as key contributors to peacebuilding and post-conflict recovery. They provide humanitarian aid, rebuild infrastructure, support displaced persons, and promote dialogue and reconciliation. Their community-based approach enables them to mobilize local populations and strengthen social cohesion. However, challenges such as limited funding, insecurity, weak coordination with government, and insufficient understanding of local contexts affect their effectiveness.

Given the continued challenges in Adamawa Northern Senatorial District, this study examines the impact of NGOs on peacebuilding and reconstruction. It focuses on their roles, strategies, community engagement, and challenges, while assessing whether their interventions have achieved sustainable peace and development. The study aims to provide insights and recommendations to improve peacebuilding efforts in Nigeria and similar conflict-affected regions.

Statement of the Problem

Sustainable peace in Northeast Nigeria, especially in the northern part of Adamawa State, is still elusive despite multiple initiatives meant to reduce insurgency and promote peace. The government's efforts have included disarmament and deradicalization programs, military operations against insurgent groups, the creation of regional security initiatives like the Multinational Joint Task Force (MNJTF), reconstruction and rehabilitation policies for impacted communities, and the delivery of humanitarian aid through organizations like the National Emergency Management Agency (NEMA). In order to restore peace and stability, state-led peacebuilding measures have also been implemented, such as community dialogue platforms and reintegration programs for former combatants.

The intricate and multifaceted effects of insurgency have not yet been addressed by these measures. The scope and duration of the Boko Haram crisis have exceeded state capabilities, exposing serious shortcomings in tackling long-term peacebuilding, societal reintegration, and post-conflict reconstruction. This circumstance emphasizes the need for non-state players, especially non-governmental organizations (NGOs), to play complementary roles.

Existing literature acknowledges the critical contributions of NGOs in conflict and post-conflict area. These organizations provide humanitarian relief, support internally displaced persons (IDPs), facilitate access to basic services such as healthcare and education, and engage in peacebuilding initiatives including conflict mediation and community reconciliation. In conflict-

affected areas of Adamawa State such as Michika, Maiha, Mubi South and Mubi North, NGOs have become key actors in bridging gaps left by state institutions.

NGOs operating in these areas encounter significant operational and structural challenges that may limit their effectiveness. These challenges include threats, intimidation from the insurgents restricted access to vulnerable populations due to ongoing insecurity, bureaucratic and political interference, and dependence on external funding that may influence operational priorities. In some cases, NGOs adopt indirect engagement strategies such as working through local intermediaries or relying on security escorts to deliver aid, which may compromise principles of neutrality, independence, and direct community engagement.

These challenges are widely acknowledged, there remains a significant gap in empirical understanding regarding the extent to which NGOs have effectively contributed to peacebuilding and post-conflict reconstruction in Northern Adamawa State. Specifically, there is limited scholarly evidence assessing how these organizations navigate operational constraints, balance humanitarian principles with contextual realities, and achieve measurable outcomes in terms of sustainable peace and community recovery.

It is against this backdrop that this study seeks to assess the role of NGOs in peacebuilding and post-conflict reconstruction in Northern Adamawa State. The study aims to evaluate their contributions, identify the challenges affecting their operations, and assess the extent to which their interventions have made a meaningful impact on restoring peace and stability in the study areas.

Objectives of the Study

The general objectives of this paper is to evaluate the Impact of Non-Governmental Organizations on Peacebuilding and Post-Conflict Reconstruction in Northeast Nigeria. While the specific objectives include to:

- i. investigate the perceptions of community members towards NGOs led peace-building and reconstruction in Northern Senatorial District of Adamawa State;
- ii. analyze the level of community participation in NGO-led peacebuilding and reconstruction efforts in Northern Senatorial District of Adamawa State;
- iii. assess the contributions of NGOs toward post-conflict reconstruction, including education, healthcare, housing, and livelihood restoration in the study area;
- iv. identify the major challenges faced by NGOs in implementing peacebuilding and reconstruction programs in Northern Senatorial District of Adamawa State;
- v. evaluate the effectiveness and sustainability of NGO interventions in fostering long-term peace and development in the Northern Senatorial District of Adamawa State.

Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

Peacebuilding

One of the most profound definitions was provided by Boutros-Ghali (1992), he referred to peacebuilding as “a sum of strategies and actions undertaken at the end of civil war through the deployment of military and civilian personnel, with the aim to identify and support structures that strengthen and solidify peace” (Boutros-Ghali, 1992; UN, 1992; Milica et al., 2015). Keating and Knight note that “since peacebuilding aims to ensure lasting peace, it involves much more than a cessation of hostilities” (Keating and Knight 2004). These academics view peacebuilding as a proactive method to address the underlying causes of conflict and reduce the likelihood of a resumption of hostilities (Menon, 2019).

In the Brahimi Report (2000), there is an elaborate conceptualization of post-conflict peacebuilding as “Activities undertaken after conflict to rebuild the foundations of peace and provide tools for building on those foundations, aiming for more than just the absence of war” (Brahimi Report, 2000). In this context, peacebuilding focuses on eradicating the root causes of violence and is a comprehensive effort involving political, legal, economic, social, and cultural institutions, as well as complementary and mutually reinforcing security techniques (Menon, 2019). Disagreements arise regarding objectives, methods for achieving them, roles of national and international parties involved, and timelines. Although there is widespread agreement that peacebuilding is a lengthy process, the specifics of what it entails are subject to debate (Brahimi Report, 2000).

According to Peacebuilding Initiatives (2013), peacebuilding is seen as a holistic term that encompasses, creates, and supports a range of processes, approaches, and phases required to shift conflict towards more sustainable, peaceful partnerships. Thus, peace is understood as more than just a moment or a state; it is a dynamic social construct (Peacebuilding Initiatives, 2013). Peacebuilding is now recognized as a crucial aspect throughout the entire conflict cycle, encompassing the stages before, during, and after the conflict. This implies that sustaining peace should occur simultaneously with peacekeeping, development efforts, and humanitarian activities (UN, 2022).

According to the United Nation, sustainable peace is both a goal and a process. It focuses on preventing the outbreak, continuation, escalation, and recurrence of violent conflicts. This involves addressing root causes, engaging parties involved in conflict to cease hostilities, facilitating national reconciliation, and transitioning towards recovery, reconstruction, and development. Achieving this requires a comprehensive, coordinated, and coherent approach encompassing political processes, safety and security, rule of law and human rights, social services, core government functions, economic revitalization, and livelihoods (UN, 2023).

The evaluation of various conceptual discourses has highlighted key insights. Reintegration is a long-term, ongoing process that aims to support former combatants and their families in integrating into communities that may initially be hesitant to accept them. This process is crucial for achieving sustainable peace as an inclusive and harmonious society is vital for maintaining order. The primary objectives of reintegration include transitioning combatants to civilian status, promoting non-violent conduct, and encouraging community-approved activities. Numerous scholarly work have established a strong correlation between reintegration programme and peacebuilding in post-conflict situations. Some scholars argued it is practically illusive to think of achieving peace without reintegrating ex-combatants in post-conflict situation (Subedi, 2018; Sharif, 2018; Suarez and Baines, 2021).

Post-Conflict Reconstruction

The term post-conflict is often loosely defined (Dodge, 2006) and used casually in many completely different state contexts and political conditions. The various approaches to post-conflict reconstruction usually address specific post-conflict conditions by focusing on the reconstruction efforts of government, international agencies and non-governmental organisations. This is always consequent upon violent conflicts as in the case of Europe (Marshall Plan) and Japan after the Second World War and that of the reconstruction of Bosnia-Herzegovina in contemporary era. There has however, been the need for reconstruction amidst ongoing conflict in recent time, as in the case of Iraq, Afghanistan, and in more recent instance of Gaza. In all the instances cited, the conflict persists at fluctuating intensities.

Post-conflict reconstruction is thus conceived as a step, aimed at rebuilding the basic physical infrastructure destroyed and shelter demolished or burnt down during crises in order to enable people to begin afresh. It is a strategy which involves reinstating lost livelihoods, introducing new economic opportunities and improving land and water management processes so as to reduce people's vulnerability and enhance capacities to handle future calamities (Nathaniel, 2014 cited in Muddassir & Sanusi, 2019). Snodderly (2011) described reconstruction as the process of rebuilding degraded, damaged or destroyed political, socioeconomic, and physical infrastructure of a country or territory, disarmament and reintegration of combatants, resettling internally displaced persons, reforming governmental institutions, promoting trauma counselling and reconciliation, delivering justice, and restarting the economy in order to create a solid the foundation for a long-term development. According to the Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO) (cited in Muddassir& Sanusi, 2019), reconstruction and economic recovery refer to measures that help to restore the livelihoods, assets and production levels of devastated communities.

These measures rebuild essential infrastructure, institutions and services; and restore the means of production destroyed or made non-operational by a disaster. Economic recovery and

reconstruction assistance are thus geared towards limiting the need for relief and allowing substantial development activities to evolve. For the purpose of this paper, post conflict reconstruction therefore involves an attempt to rebuild and reform the north-eastern Nigeria politically, economically, and socially consequent upon the unquantifiable damages and destruction caused by Boko Haram insurgency.

Perceptions of Community Members towards NGO-Led Peace-Building and Reconstruction

In post-conflict societies such as northeast Nigeria, where insurgency and ethno-religious violence have left deep scars, the role of Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) in peace-building and reconstruction has become increasingly central. Community perceptions of NGOs, however, vary significantly depending on their responsiveness, cultural sensitivity, effectiveness, and accountability. Understanding these perceptions is critical because the success of any peace-building effort hinges on local support and ownership (Bukar, 2021).

NGO-led interventions often include humanitarian aid, psychosocial support, conflict resolution training, infrastructure rebuilding, and advocacy. While these efforts are commendable, communities' interpretations of their impact and motives shape the legitimacy, sustainability, and effectiveness of these initiatives (Idowu, 2018; Gadzama, 2020).

Community Trust and Legitimacy of NGOs: Trust remains a fundamental factor in how communities perceive NGOs. Bukar (2021), observed many communities in northeastern Nigeria appreciate the material aid and conflict mediation services provided by NGOs during and after the Boko Haram insurgency. These organizations have often been the first responders in displaced persons camps, providing food, shelter, healthcare, and education. Their presence is frequently seen as filling the vacuum left by the Nigerian government, which has often been perceived as slow or ineffective.

However, trust is not universal. A study by Gadzama (2020) indicates that while some communities appreciate the efforts of NGOs, others question their transparency and long-term commitment. In some cases, communities see NGOs as “profit-driven” or as having hidden international agendas. This skepticism can lead to partial cooperation or resistance, particularly when community leaders are not fully engaged in program planning.

Cultural Sensitivity and Inclusion: NGOs' sensitivity to cultural and religious norms significantly influences local acceptance. In Nigeria, especially in the northern region, religion and tradition play a central role in social life. Programs that align with or at least respect these values tend to be more effective. According to Bukar (2021), NGOs that employed community dialogue mechanisms, engaged traditional rulers, and used local languages in their interventions were better received.

Conversely, projects perceived as externally imposed or as clashing with local customs often met resistance. For example, gender equality programs promoting women's empowerment faced backlash in conservative communities where traditional gender roles are deeply entrenched. In such

contexts, NGOs that involved male heads of households in sensitization programs were more successful than those who excluded them.

Effectiveness and Impact of NGO Interventions: The community's perception of NGO effectiveness is closely tied to tangible improvements in their lives. According to Idowu (2018), beneficiaries tend to positively evaluate NGOs that deliver concrete benefits, such as improved access to clean water, rebuilt schools, and skills acquisition centers. When NGOs meet community-identified needs, they are seen as partners in progress.

On the contrary, NGOs focusing more on awareness campaigns without visible infrastructural or economic benefits are sometimes viewed with disinterest or even suspicion. As stated in the study by Mohammed and John (2019), communities in Adamawa State rated infrastructural reconstruction projects more highly than conflict resolution seminars because of the immediate and visible impact on their daily lives.

Additionally, inconsistency in program delivery such as starting a project and abandoning it midway contributes to a negative perception of NGOs. This is often attributed to shifting donor priorities or limited funding, which communities might not fully understand, thus interpreting it as a lack of commitment.

NGO-Led Peacebuilding and Reconstruction Efforts in Northeast Nigeria

Community participation is a central element in successful peacebuilding and post-conflict reconstruction initiatives, particularly in conflict-affected regions like Northeast Nigeria. The involvement of local communities in NGO-led efforts ensures the legitimacy, sustainability, and contextual relevance of interventions aimed at rebuilding war-torn societies (Barakat & Waldman, 2013).

In the context of Northeast Nigeria, where communities have been devastated by the Boko Haram insurgency, Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) have played a vital role in complementing government efforts in rebuilding destroyed infrastructures, facilitating social cohesion, and addressing humanitarian needs. However, the degree to which communities participate in these NGO-led initiatives is critical. Participation not only fosters ownership and accountability but also enhances the responsiveness of interventions to local needs and cultural dynamics (Richards, 2005; Mac Ginty, 2011).

Studies indicate that many NGOs working in the region adopt participatory approaches such as community consultations, needs assessments, and involvement in decision-making to promote inclusive peacebuilding (Adamu, 2020; Olanrewaju, 2021). Yet, challenges persist. Issues such as donor-driven priorities, power imbalances, and limited capacity of local actors often restrict genuine community involvement (Ojielo, 2009; Kemi & Ukeje, 2022). In some cases, participation may be symbolic or tokenistic, rather than empowering or transformative.

Community participation is not only a normative ideal but a strategic necessity for effective and enduring peace. The objective to assess how communities engage in NGO-led peacebuilding and reconstruction in Northeast Nigeria is therefore crucial for understanding the impact, sustainability, and local legitimacy of these interventions. It also provides a pathway to examine barriers such as exclusion of women and youth, lack of feedback mechanisms, and cultural misalignments between international NGOs and indigenous practices (Audu & Bukar, 2018). In sum, the effectiveness of NGO-led peacebuilding in Northeast Nigeria is largely contingent on the depth, quality, and sincerity of community participation. A rigorous examination of this objective helps to inform more context-sensitive, inclusive, and locally-driven strategies for long-term recovery and peace.

The Contribution of NGOS in Peace Building and post-conflict reconstruction

Non-governmental organizations as body which complement the effort of the government in the conflict prone areas particularly in the North-Eastern region of Nigeria can be discussed as follows:

- i. Provision of relief materials to the internally displaced persons, at the various camps of the region. Infact colossal sum of money was earmarked by NGOs to alleviate the sufferings of the internally displaced persons.
- ii. Establishment of schools for the internally displaced persons. Since there are millions of out of school children in the region. Statistics has shown that the insurgents in the region have rendered about 7 million children out of school.
- iii. NGOs have engaged in counterpart funding with the Federal and the State of the region, in financing some basic needs of the internally displaced persons.
- iv. Provision of health care services to the victims of the conflict.
- v. Advocacy/awareness campaign on the implication of the youth's involvement in insurgents and the need to be educated through entrepreneurial skills and vocation.
- vi. Re-settlements of the internally displaced persons at various camps for proper care. No doubt, the essence of peace building is to prevent conflict and create peace. A suggestion was made that peace has two different concepts-negative peace and positive peace. Negative peace refers to mere absence of violence, but positive peace is said to be a stable social equilibrium in which the surfacing of new disputes cannot escalate into violence and war.

Major Challenges Faced by NGOs in Implementing Peacebuilding and Reconstruction Programs in Nigeria

The objective of identifying the major challenges faced by NGOs in implementing peacebuilding and reconstruction programs in Nigeria is crucial for understanding the gaps, constraints, and operational realities that affect the success and sustainability of such interventions. In conflict-affected regions especially Northeast Nigeria non-governmental organizations (NGOs) have become indispensable actors in rebuilding war-torn communities and fostering social cohesion. However,

these organizations encounter several systemic and contextual obstacles that hinder their effectiveness.

Insecurity and Ongoing Conflicts

One of the foremost challenges is the high level of insecurity in conflict zones, particularly in the Northeast. Armed insurgency, banditry, and terrorist attacks frequently target both civilian populations and humanitarian workers, reducing NGOs' mobility and reach.

“Continued violence from Boko Haram and other armed groups severely limits access to communities in need and exposes NGO personnel to constant threats” (International Crisis Group, 2019).

Bureaucratic and Political Constraints

NGOs often face bureaucratic hurdles in obtaining clearance, permits, and approvals for projects. This is compounded by political interference, where local elites seek to control or redirect resources for political patronage rather than genuine peacebuilding.

“Excessive government control and a lack of transparency in administrative processes delay the timely execution of reconstruction projects” (Barakat & Waldman, 2013).

Funding Instability and Donor Dependency

Many NGOs are highly dependent on short-term donor funding, which may not align with the long-term nature of peacebuilding and reconstruction work. Donor interests often dictate the focus of interventions, which may not reflect local priorities.

“The volatility of donor funding forces NGOs to compromise between satisfying donor priorities and meeting the actual needs of communities” (Mac Ginty, 2011).

Limited Community Involvement and Ownership

Effective peacebuilding requires strong community participation, but many NGO-led programs are designed without adequate local consultation. This often results in poor ownership, weak sustainability, and sometimes outright rejection by beneficiaries.

“The exclusion of local stakeholders from project design and implementation leads to mistrust and project failure” (Audu & Bukar, 2018).

Coordination Challenges among NGOs

The absence of a central coordination mechanism among humanitarian and development actors leads to duplication of efforts, competition for resources, and inefficient service delivery.

“Lack of coordination leads to overlapping interventions, contradictory strategies, and under-utilization of local knowledge” (Olanrewaju, 2021).

Capacity Deficits and Skilled Workforce Shortage

Some local NGOs lack the technical expertise, trained personnel, and logistical capacity to manage large-scale reconstruction and peacebuilding programs effectively.

“Without adequate human and institutional capacity, many local NGOs are unable to deliver complex multi-sectoral programs” (Kemi & Ukeje, 2022).

Poor Monitoring and Evaluation Systems

Peacebuilding outcomes are often intangible and long-term. Many NGOs lack the tools and frameworks to track impact effectively, making it difficult to learn from successes and failures.

“The absence of robust evaluation mechanisms weakens accountability and reduces the ability to improve future interventions” (Lederach, 1997).

Cultural and Linguistic Barriers

International NGOs often operate with limited understanding of local norms, languages, and conflict dynamics, leading to cultural misalignment and community disengagement.

“Programs that ignore traditional conflict resolution mechanisms or local customs often face rejection or ineffectiveness” (Richards, 2005).

Gender Inequality and Exclusion of Vulnerable Groups

Peacebuilding programs frequently fail to include women, youth, and other marginalized groups, even though these groups are often most affected by conflict and most capable of building resilience.

“Exclusion of women from decision-making processes is a recurrent issue in NGO-led interventions, limiting the inclusivity of peacebuilding efforts” (UN Women, 2020).

Effectiveness and Sustainability of NGO Interventions in Fostering Long-Term Peace and Development

The effectiveness and sustainability of NGO interventions in fostering long-term peace and development in Nigeria is highly relevant given the protracted nature of conflicts in the country and the critical role NGOs play in post-conflict reconstruction. NGOs have become key actors in responding to the consequences of violent insurgencies, especially in the Northeast, by providing humanitarian relief, facilitating reconciliation, and promoting livelihood recovery. However, the effectiveness of these interventions often varies based on their design, level of local engagement, and contextual responsiveness. As Mac Ginty (2011) observes, while NGOs have introduced innovative peacebuilding practices in fragile contexts, their success often hinges on the incorporation of local knowledge and the inclusion of community stakeholders in planning and implementation.

In terms of sustainability, many NGO-led initiatives struggle to produce long-lasting outcomes once external support ends. A major criticism of such interventions is their dependency on donor funding, which frequently leads to short-term project cycles that fail to embed resilience or institutional capacity within local structures. Barakat and Waldman (2013) argue that the lack of long-term funding strategies, combined with limited investment in local ownership, often results in the collapse or ineffectiveness of programs once NGOs exit. Furthermore, Audu and Bukar (2018) revealed that the absence of community participation not only reduces the legitimacy of these programs but also undermines their sustainability.

The effectiveness and sustainability of NGO interventions are further complicated by security challenges, political interference, and poor coordination with state institutions. In conflict-prone areas, NGOs often operate under constant threat, which limits their mobility and disrupts project continuity. Additionally, interventions that fail to address the structural causes of conflict such as inequality, poverty, and marginalization are unlikely to lead to long-term peace. According to Lederach (1997), sustainable peacebuilding must go beyond immediate relief to foster systemic transformation, reconciliation, and inclusive development. This requires linking peacebuilding with broader development goals, a connection that many NGO programs overlook, thereby limiting their long-term impact.

Monitoring and evaluation frameworks are another critical dimension of both effectiveness and sustainability. Without robust systems to track outcomes, assess challenges, and adapt interventions, NGOs find it difficult to measure progress or make data-driven improvements. Richards (2005) notes that peacebuilding success is often intangible and long term, requiring nuanced and context-sensitive evaluation metrics. Programs that lack such mechanisms tend to rely on output-level reporting, which may misrepresent real impact.

Theoretical Framework

For this paper, Conflict Transformation Theory is adopted to guide the analysis and as well theoretical framework.

Conflict Transformation Theory, primarily advanced by John Paul Lederach, serves as a vital framework for understanding the complexities of peacebuilding and post-conflict reconstruction, particularly in contexts marked by prolonged and deep-rooted violence. This theory represents a significant departure from traditional conflict resolution models that prioritize short-term solutions such as ceasefires, negotiations, and treaties. Instead, conflict transformation views conflict not merely as a disruption to be resolved, but as a natural and potentially constructive process that, if well managed, can lead to meaningful social change. Lederach (1995) conceptualizes conflict transformation as a long-term process that focuses on building relationships, altering unjust social structures, addressing underlying causes of conflict, and promoting sustainable peace.

This perspective is especially appropriate for examining the impact of Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) on peacebuilding and post-conflict reconstruction in Northeast Nigeria. The region, ravaged by the Boko Haram insurgency since 2009, has suffered widespread displacement, loss of life, destruction of infrastructure, and the collapse of community trust. According to the International Crisis Group (2021), the conflict has led to over 35,000 deaths and displaced more than two million people, mainly in Borno, Yobe, and Adamawa States. In such a volatile and fragmented environment, NGOs have emerged as key actors not only in delivering humanitarian aid but in rebuilding the socio-political fabric of affected communities. Conflict Transformation Theory

provides a compelling analytical lens to understand the role these organizations play in facilitating long-term societal healing, institutional development, and conflict-sensitive development.

Conflict transformation emphasizes that lasting peace requires addressing both immediate violence and its underlying causes, such as identity issues, exclusion, and historical grievances (Lederach, 1997). In Northeast Nigeria, NGOs apply this approach through initiatives like community dialogues, trauma healing, and interfaith engagement, which help rebuild trust and relationships among divided groups.

The theory also highlights the need to tackle structural drivers of conflict, including poverty, inequality, and marginalization. NGOs contribute by promoting education, vocational training, livelihood support, and access to justice, while empowering women and youth and strengthening local capacity for conflict resolution. Additionally, they address the cultural and psychological impacts of conflict by providing psychosocial support and trauma counseling, recognizing the importance of emotional healing in peacebuilding.

NGOs further engage in policy advocacy to promote inclusive governance and accountability, helping rebuild trust between citizens and the state. However, challenges such as limited funding, donor priorities, insecurity, and power imbalances often restrict the full implementation of conflict transformation strategies, leading to a focus on short-term interventions rather than long-term change.

Despite these limitations, conflict transformation remains a valuable framework for understanding NGO roles in post-conflict settings, as it integrates relational, structural, and cultural dimensions of peacebuilding while emphasizing local ownership. In Northeast Nigeria, NGOs play a critical role in fostering trust, justice, and community resilience. However, findings show mixed perceptions of NGOs while some view them as reliable service providers, others question their transparency and motives in delivering aid.

METHODOLOGY

The study employed a qualitative research methodology, specifically utilizing content analysis to examine secondary data. The researcher conducted a comprehensive review of relevant literature by systematically searching for textbooks, academic journals, periodicals, internet-based sources, and both published and unpublished research works. This search involved the use of academic databases (such as Google Scholar, JSTOR etc), university libraries, and credible online repositories. Keywords related to the research topic were used to retrieve relevant materials, and sources were selected based on their scholarly credibility, relevance to the topic, and publication date. The aim of consulting these diverse scholarly materials was to gain comprehensive insights into the prevailing perspectives on the subject under investigation.

Findings/Result

Community Engagement: The review indicates that inclusive and culturally sensitive approaches by NGOs increase community acceptance. Programs that involved local leaders, used local languages, respected traditions, and included women and youth in decision-making were seen as more effective and welcomed (Hadiza Bukar, 2021). Conversely, top-down and externally imposed programs faced resistance.

Tangible Benefits Shape Positive Perception: Communities positively evaluated NGOs that provided visible, life-improving infrastructure (e.g., schools, boreholes, shelters), economic empowerment (e.g., skills training), and healthcare services. Programs perceived as producing real benefits were seen as legitimate and necessary (Idowu, 2018; Mohammed & John, 2019).

Concerns over Dependency and Withdrawal: Community members are often concerned about NGO project sustainability, expressing anxiety over the withdrawal of aid once donor funding ends. This leads to perceptions that NGOs are more interested in short-term results than long-term development unless deliberate capacity-building is included (Bukar, 2021).

Security, Gender Exclusion, and Operational Gaps as Barriers: Insecurity, gender exclusion, elite dominance, and lack of program continuity were cited as major obstacles to effective and inclusive peacebuilding. These barriers reduced trust, hindered participation, and weakened long-term development goals (Gadzama, 2020; Mohammed & John, 2019).

Conclusion/Recommendations

Peacebuilding is a catalyst for national development that effectively restructures and rebuilds broken relationships, encompassing both social and economic spheres. Through its emphasis on upholding human rights, establishing a just system, promoting equality, establishing a robust communication infrastructure, and providing necessary training, such as conferences, peacebuilding not only supports peace but also combats violent tendencies, paving the way for lasting and sustainable peace. This study examined the impact of Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) on peacebuilding and post-conflict reconstruction in Northeast Nigeria, with particular focus on the Northern Senatorial District of Adamawa State. Drawing on comprehensive literature and empirical findings, the research assessed five key objectives; community perceptions of NGOs, levels of community participation, contributions of NGOs to reconstruction, challenges faced by these organizations, and the effectiveness and sustainability of their interventions.

From the reviewed literature, it is evident that NGOs have played a crucial role in mitigating the devastating effects of the Boko Haram insurgency by providing humanitarian aid, rebuilding critical infrastructure, supporting livelihood restoration, and facilitating peacebuilding processes. However, the impact of these interventions is mediated significantly by how they are perceived by local communities. Positive perceptions were strongly linked to NGOs' ability to deliver tangible benefits

such as food, shelter, education, and healthcare. Conversely, skepticism arose where interventions were top-down, culturally insensitive, lacked transparency, or failed to deliver lasting results.

The level of community participation emerged as a decisive factor in determining the success and sustainability of NGO-led initiatives. Communities that were actively involved in project planning, implementation, and monitoring especially through local peace committees and labor contributions demonstrated higher ownership and commitment, which translated into longer-lasting impacts. Nonetheless, structural barriers such as insecurity, elite dominance, gender exclusion, and low awareness levels often hindered meaningful community involvement.

From the result and findings of this paper, the researchers make the following recommendations:

- i. NGOs should ensure inclusive participation at every stage of peacebuilding and reconstruction from needs assessment and planning to implementation and evaluation. Engaging traditional leaders, youth, women, and marginalized groups will increase community trust and project sustainability.
- ii. To avoid resistance, NGOs must tailor interventions to reflect local customs, religious values, and languages. Culturally appropriate approaches that respect community norms will improve acceptance and effectiveness.
- iii. Establishing clear communication channels and community-based monitoring systems will help dispel mistrust. Public reporting of resource allocation and impact assessments can build credibility and reduce perceptions of favoritism or corruption.
- iv. Infrastructural facilities and social amenities such as schools, hospitals, clean water, good roads, and recreational centers should be provided.
- v. NGOs should be thoroughly accredited to fish out the rotten and fake emerging NGOs in the activism.
- vi. A leadership that is committed should lay down procedures for restoring service delivery for infrastructure reconstruction in Adamawa State Northern District.

REFERENCES

- Adamu, M. (2020). *Community-based approaches to peacebuilding in Nigeria: The role of NGOs*. Kaduna: Arewa Academic Press.
- Audu, J., & Bukar, M. (2018). *Community participation and post-conflict peacebuilding in Northeast Nigeria*. *Journal of Peace and Development Studies*, 5(2), 44-61.
- Barakat, S., & Waldman, T. (2013). *Conflict and post-war transition: Lessons from the Middle East*. London: Routledge.
- Boutros-Ghali, B. (1992). *An agenda for peace: Preventive diplomacy, peacemaking and peacekeeping*. United Nations.
- Brahimi Report. (2000). *Report of the Panel on United Nations Peace Operations*. United Nations. https://www.un.org/en/events/pastevents/brahimi_report.shtml

- Bukar, M. (2021). *The impact of NGO interventions in Northeast Nigeria: Perceptions from conflict-affected communities*. *Borno Peace Journal*, 3(1), 11–30.
- Chiedu, O. (2013). *Political exploitation of conflicts in Nigeria: A critical analysis*. Lagos: Beacon Press.
- Dodge, T. (2006). *Iraq: The contradictions of exogenous state-building*. *Third World Quarterly*, 27(1), 187–200. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01436590500369955>
- Gadzama, A. (2020). *Trust, perception, and legitimacy of NGOs in Northeast Nigeria*. *Conflict & Society Review*, 4(1), 67–84.
- Hadiza, B. (2021). *Cultural inclusion in NGO-led peacebuilding in Northern Nigeria*. *African Peacebuilding Review*, 6(3), 45–60.
- Idowu, A. (2018). *Perceived effectiveness of NGO-led development projects in conflict zones of Nigeria*. *International Journal of Conflict Studies*, 10(2), 25–42.
- Ifesinachi, K. (2009). *Peacebuilding and development in post-conflict societies*. Enugu: University of Nigeria Press.
- IJRP (International Journal of Relief and Peacebuilding). (2018). *Community accountability and perception in NGO interventions in post-conflict Nigeria*. *IJRP*, 7(1), 30–55.
- International Crisis Group. (2019). *Facing the challenge of Boko Haram in Nigeria's Northeast*. Retrieved from <https://www.crisisgroup.org>
- International Crisis Group. (2021). *The cost of conflict in Northeast Nigeria: Humanitarian crisis and response gaps*. Retrieved from <https://www.crisisgroup.org>
- Keating, T., & Knight, W. A. (2004). *Building sustainable peace*. Edmonton: University of Alberta Press.
- Kemi, A., & Ukeje, C. (2022). *Local ownership and donor dependency: The dilemma of peacebuilding in Nigeria*. *African Security Studies*, 31(4), 89–107.
- Lederach, J. P. (1995). *Preparing for peace: Conflict transformation across cultures*. Syracuse, NY: Syracuse University Press.
- Lederach, J. P. (1997). *Building peace: Sustainable reconciliation in divided societies*. Washington, DC: United States Institute of Peace Press.
- Lederach, J. P. (2005). *The moral imagination: The art and soul of building peace*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Mac Ginty, R. (2008). *No war, no peace: The rejuvenation of stalled peace processes and peace accords*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Mac Ginty, R. (2011). *International peacebuilding and local resistance: Hybrid forms of peace*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Menon, A. (2019). *Understanding peacebuilding: Concepts, processes, and strategies*. *Peace and Conflict Review*, 11(1), 34–56.
- Milica, S., Djordje, S., & Vladimir, R. (2015). *Conceptual evolution of peacebuilding: From ending war to building peace*. *Journal of Political Science*, 7(3), 52–65.
- Mohammed, A., & John, M. (2019). *NGO roles in reconstruction of conflict zones in Adamawa State, Nigeria*. *Journal of African Peacebuilding*, 6(2), 73–92.
- Muddassir, A., & Sanusi, L. (2019). *Post-conflict reconstruction and peacebuilding in Northern Nigeria*. *African Journal of Humanitarian Studies*, 4(1), 93–108.

- NGO.org. (n.d.). *Role of NGOs in global peace and development*. Retrieved from <http://www.ngo.org/>
- Olanrewaju, A. (2021). *Inter-agency coordination challenges in humanitarian responses: The case of Northeast Nigeria*. *Journal of Development Practice*, 4(1), 58–70.
- Ojielo, M. O. (2009). *Designing an integrated approach to peacebuilding in Nigeria*. UNDP Nigeria Discussion Paper.
- Peacebuilding Initiatives. (2013). *Peacebuilding as a holistic process*. Retrieved from <https://www.peacebuildinginitiative.org>
- Richards, P. (2005). *No peace, no war: An anthropology of contemporary armed conflicts*. Athens: Ohio University Press.
- Sharif, Y. (2018). *Reintegration of ex-combatants in West African post-conflict settings*. *West African Journal of Peacebuilding*, 2(1), 12–29.
- Snodderly, D. (2011). *Peace terms: Glossary of terms for conflict management and peacebuilding*. Washington, DC: United States Institute of Peace Press.
- Subedi, S. (2018). *DDR and peacebuilding: The critical link in post-conflict transformation*. *Journal of Conflict Resolution and Development*, 3(2), 41–60.
- Suarez, P., & Baines, E. (2021). *From combatants to citizens: The role of reintegration in peacebuilding*. *Conflict and Society Studies*, 8(1), 22–47.
- UN. (1992). *An agenda for peace: Preventive diplomacy, peacemaking and peacekeeping*. New York: United Nations.
- UN. (2022). *Peacebuilding and sustaining peace*. Retrieved from <https://www.un.org/peacebuilding/>
- UN. (2023). *Peacebuilding and the path to sustainable development*. Retrieved from <https://www.un.org/peacebuilding/content/2023report>
- UN Women. (2020). *Women's participation in peace processes in Nigeria: Challenges and opportunities*. New York: UN Women. <https://www.unwomen.org>
- UNESCO. (n.d.). *Pledge for peace: A code of ethics for a culture of peace program*. Retrieved from <https://www.unesco.org>
- United Nations. (2007). *Peacebuilding in the aftermath of conflict: Report of the Secretary-General*. New York: United Nations. <https://www.un.org/peacebuilding/>